


AIUM Practice Parameter for the Performance of an

Ultrasound Examination of the Neonatal and Infant Spine

*Parameter developed in collaboration with the American College of Radiology,
the Society for Pediatric Radiology, and the Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound.*



The association for medical ultrasound
aium[®]
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF ULTRASOUND IN MEDICINE

© 2016 by the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine

The American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (AIUM) is a multidisciplinary association dedicated to advancing the safe and effective use of ultrasound in medicine through professional and public education, research, development of parameters, and accreditation. To promote this mission, the AIUM is pleased to publish, in conjunction with the American College of Radiology (ACR), the Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR), and the Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound (SRU), this *AIUM Practice Parameter for the Performance of an Ultrasound Examination of the Neonatal and Infant Spine*. We are indebted to the many volunteers who contributed their time, knowledge, and energy to bringing this document to completion.

The AIUM represents the entire range of clinical and basic science interests in medical diagnostic ultrasound, and, with hundreds of volunteers, the AIUM has promoted the safe and effective use of ultrasound in clinical medicine for more than 50 years. This document and others like it will continue to advance this mission.

Practice parameters of the AIUM are intended to provide the medical ultrasound community with parameters for the performance and recording of high-quality ultrasound examinations. The parameters reflect what the AIUM considers the minimum criteria for a complete examination in each area but are not intended to establish a legal standard of care. AIUM-accredited practices are expected to generally follow the parameters with recognition that deviations from these parameters will be needed in some cases, depending on patient needs and available equipment. Practices are encouraged to go beyond the parameters to provide additional service and information as needed.



14750 Sweitzer Ln, Suite 100
Laurel, MD 20707-5906 USA
800-638-5352 • 301-498-4100
www.aium.org

©2016 American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine

I. Introduction

The clinical aspects contained in specific sections of this parameter (Introduction, Indications/Contraindications, Specifications of the Examination, and Equipment Specifications) were developed collaboratively by the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (AIUM), the American College of Radiology (ACR), the Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR), and the Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound (SRU). Recommendations for physician requirements, written request for the examination, procedure documentation, and quality control vary between the 4 organizations and are addressed by each separately.

This practice parameter has been developed to assist practitioners in the performance of neonatal and infant spine sonography. In some cases, an additional or specialized examination may be necessary. While it is not possible to detect every abnormality, following this practice parameter will maximize the detection of abnormalities of the infant spine. Sonographic examination of the pediatric spinal canal is accomplished by scanning through the normally incompletely ossified posterior elements. Therefore, it is most successful in the newborn period and in early infancy. In infants older than 6 months, the examination can be very limited, although the level of termination of the cord can often be identified.

In experienced hands, ultrasound imaging of the infant spine has been shown to be an accurate and cost-effective examination that is comparable to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for evaluating congenital or acquired abnormalities in the neonate and young infant. Because of the clinical ease of examination and lack of need for sedation, ultrasound is generally considered the first-line tool for diagnosis, with MRI often reserved for cases in which ultrasound is inadequate or insufficient for diagnosis or exclusion of abnormalities.

1

II. Indications/Contraindications

A. Indications

The indications for sonography of the neonatal spinal canal and its contents include but are not limited to¹⁻¹⁰:

1. Lumbosacral stigmata known to be associated with spinal dysraphism and tethered spinal cord, including:
 - a. Midline or paramedian masses;
 - b. Midline skin discolorations;
 - c. Skin tags;
 - d. Hair tufts;
 - e. Hemangiomas;
 - f. Small midline dimples; and
 - g. Paramedian deep dimples;
2. The spectrum of caudal regression syndrome, including patients with sacral agenesis, anal atresia or stenosis;

3. Evaluation of suspected cord abnormalities such as cord tethering, diastematomyelia, hydromyelia, and syringomyelia;
4. Detection of sequelae, such as:
 - a. Hematoma following injury, such as birth injury;
 - b. Infection or hemorrhage secondary to prior instrumentation, such as lumbar puncture; and
 - c. Posttraumatic leakage of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF);
5. Visualization of blood products within the spinal canal in patients with intracranial hemorrhage;
6. Guidance for lumbar puncture¹¹; and
7. Postoperative assessment for cord retethering.¹²

Dimples associated with a high risk of occult spinal dysraphism include those in which the base of the dimple is not seen, are located >2.5 cm above the anus, or are seen in combination with other cutaneous stigmata³. The examination has a low diagnostic yield in infants with simple, low-lying coccygeal dimples; such patients typically have normal spinal contents^{3,7,13}.

B. Contraindications

1. Preoperative examination of an open spinal dysraphic defect. However, in such cases the closed portion of the spinal canal away from the open defect can be examined for other suspected abnormalities, such as syrinx or diastematomyelia. These latter abnormalities should be identified preoperatively.
2. Examination of the contents of a closed neural tube defect if the skin overlying the defect is thin or no longer intact.

III. Qualifications and Responsibilities of Personnel

See www.aium.org for AIUM Official Statements including *Standards and Guidelines for the Accreditation of Ultrasound Practices* and relevant Physician Training Guidelines.¹⁴

IV. Written Request for the Examination

The written or electronic request for an ultrasound examination should provide sufficient information to allow for the appropriate performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider or under the provider's direction. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriate health care provider familiar with the patient's clinical situation and should be consistent with relevant legal and local health care facility requirements.

V. Specifications of the Examination

The examination is usually performed with the infant lying in the prone position, although the study can also be done with the patient lying on his or her side. When necessary, upright or prone reversed Trendelenburg positioning with resultant CSF distention of the lower thecal sac may permit better delineation of the cauda equina. A small bolster may be placed under the lower abdomen/pelvis to mildly flex the back, which may improve imaging. The knees may be flexed to the abdomen to allow adequate separation of the spinous processes and visualization of the spinal canal contents. Avoid overzealous and excessive flexing that could impede respiration.¹⁵ An infant who has recently been fed will generally lie quietly during the examination. If preprocedural feeding is not possible, a pacifier dipped in glucose solution can be helpful in keeping an infant still, thereby optimizing the examination. It is important to note that infants, particularly if not full term, have difficulty maintaining normal body temperature. The baby should be kept warm enough to maintain normal body temperature during the procedure, and the coupling agent should be warmed.

The spinal cord should be assessed in longitudinal and transverse planes, with right and left labeled on transverse images. Longitudinal images are ideally obtained in the midline sagittal plane, although in larger/older babies (with greater spine ossification), it may be necessary to obtain images in a slightly off-midline parasagittal plane that is parallel to the spinous processes. The entire spinal canal, from the craniocervical junction to the coccyx, may be included in the examination. However, studies may be limited to the lumbosacral region in specific cases, as in patients being evaluated for a sacrococcygeal dimple and tethered cord or when searching for the presence of hematoma after an unsuccessful or traumatic spinal tap.

Normal cord morphology and the level of termination of the conus should be assessed and documented, which requires accurate identification of vertebral body level. The conus normally lies at or above the L2 to L3 disk space.^{8,16-19} A normal conus located at the mid-L3 level may be identified, especially in preterm infants¹⁹; this position is considered the lower limits of normal but is usually without clinical consequence²⁰. However, in a preterm infant with a conus that terminates at the L3 midvertebral body, a follow-up sonogram can be obtained once the infant attains a corrected age between 40 weeks' gestation and 6 months of age.⁸ The morphology of the conus should be documented, as well as any deviations from normal. Tracts extending from the skin surface should be assessed for connection into the spinal canal. A standoff pad or a thick layer of coupling gel may be used, if needed, to evaluate the superficial soft tissues and skin line for the presence of a tract.

Vertebral body level can be determined in a number of ways.^{21,22} These include:

1. Assessment of the normal lumbosacral curvature to locate the lumbosacral junction and thus the location of L5. The vertebral level of the conus medullaris is then determined by counting cephalad from L5. Lumbar vertebral bodies typically lie in a horizontal plane in a prone infant, while the sacral vertebral bodies lie at an angle similar to what is seen on lateral radiographs of the lumbosacral spine. This counting method tends to be more reproducible than the other methods described below. Extended field-of-view (panoramic) imaging can often aid in identification of a longer segment of the spine and facilitate identification of the vertebral level.

2. The first coccygeal segment has variable ossification at birth. If ossified, it can be distinguished by its rounder shape compared with the square or rectangular shape of the sacral bodies. Counting cephalad from the coccyx can help determine the vertebral level of the conus.
3. The last rib-bearing vertebra can be presumed to be T12, and the lumbar level of the conus can then be determined.
4. The thecal sac usually ends at S2.²³ This level can then be used to count cephalad to determine the location of the conus.
5. When the level of the conus cannot be definitively assessed as normal or abnormal, correlation with previous plain radiographs, if available, is helpful. A radiopaque marker can be placed on the skin at the level of the conus determined by sonographic guidance, followed by a correlative AP spine radiograph.

The level of termination of the cord is important in assessment of tethering. The cord position within the spinal canal and motion of cord and nerve roots are also helpful parameters in assessment for cord tethering. The cord is normally positioned centrally within the spinal canal, and any deviation from normal (eg, apposition to the dorsal aspect of the spinal canal) should be documented. Cine evaluation can be helpful both in depicting anatomy and in showing movement of the distal cord and nerve roots in conjunction with cardiac-related pulsations of the spinal CSF (cine images should be recorded and archived when possible). The normal nerve roots typically oscillate freely with cardiac and respiratory motion, layer dependently with variable patient positioning, and are not adherent to each other. Cine evaluation can also document changes that occur with head flexion and extension. M-mode ultrasound can also be helpful in documenting motion of the cord and nerve roots.

The integrity of the cord should be documented. The filum of the cord and its thickness should be noted. Areas of abnormal fluid accumulation, such as hydromyelia or syringomyelia; anterior, lateral, or posterior meningoceles or pseudo-meningocele; and arachnoid cysts, should be documented with their level identified. Transverse images are essential to identify and document diastematomyelia. Off-center scanning may avoid the refraction artifact that creates an apparent lateral cord duplication, or ghost image, resembling diastematomyelia.^{24–26}

The subarachnoid space is evaluated for a normal anechoic appearance, interrupted by normal hyperechoic linear nerve roots and dentate ligaments. The subarachnoid space, dura, and epidural space should be evaluated for abnormalities such as hematoma, lipoma, and other masses.

In addition to the termination of the conus, the termination of the thecal sac, typically located at S2,²³ is documented. The normal filum measures less than 2 mm in thickness.²⁷ If the filum appears thickened, it should be noted. The nerve roots of the cauda equina are normally delineated within the thecal sac.

Upright positioning can be used for image guidance of lumbar puncture or to depict meningoceles or pseudomeningoceles. Anterior meningoceles or presacral masses can also be scanned from an anterior position, usually through a fluid-filled bladder.

The vertebral bodies and posterior elements can be evaluated for deformities. Open posterior elements in skin-covered dysraphic defects can be documented on transverse views.

VI. Documentation

Adequate documentation is essential for high-quality patient care. There should be a permanent record of the ultrasound examination and its interpretation. Images of all appropriate areas, both normal and abnormal, should be recorded. Variations from normal size should be accompanied by measurements. Images should be labeled with the patient identification, facility identification, examination date, and side (right or left) of the anatomic site imaged. An official interpretation (final report) of the ultrasound findings should be included in the patient's medical record. Retention of the ultrasound examination should be consistent both with clinical needs and with relevant legal and local health care facility requirements.

Reporting should be in accordance with the *AIUM Practice Parameter for Documentation of an Ultrasound Examination*.²⁸

VII. Equipment Specifications

Sonography of the infant spine should be performed with real-time scanners using high-frequency linear array transducers, typically ranging from 9 to 12 MHz or higher in neonates.²⁹ In larger babies, it may be necessary to utilize a lower-frequency probe ranging from 5 to 9 MHz. A curvilinear probe ranging from 3 to 9 MHz may be needed if a larger field of view is desired or the acoustic access is limited, as is true in older infants. Panoramic views of the entire spinal canal are very helpful in providing an overview of the anatomy by displaying a more global image of the relationship of the spinal cord with the vertebral column and determining the level of the conus medullaris within the thecal sac. The use of a split-screen or dual-function technique is similarly useful for obtaining a longer longitudinal image of the cord and spinal column. Images of the craniocervical junction can be obtained with a small vector or curved transducer to accommodate the curvature of the cervical spine.

VIII. Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education

Policies and procedures related to quality control, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the *AIUM Standards and Guidelines for the Accreditation of Ultrasound Practices*.³⁰

Equipment performance monitoring should be in accordance with the *AIUM Standards and Guidelines for the Accreditation of Ultrasound Practices*.³⁰

IX. ALARA Principle

The potential benefits and risks of each examination should be considered. The ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) principle should be observed when adjusting controls that affect the acoustic output and by considering transducer dwell times. Further details on ALARA may be found in the AIUM publication *Medical Ultrasound Safety, Third Edition*.

Acknowledgments

This parameter was revised by the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (AIUM) in collaboration with the American College of Radiology (ACR), the Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR), and the Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound (SRU) according to the process described in the *AIUM Clinical Standards Committee Manual*.

Collaborative Committee

Members represent their societies in the initial draft and final revision of this parameter.

AIUM

Michael DiPietro, MD
Rob Goodman, MB, BChir
Charlotte Henningsen, MS, RT, RDMS, RVT

ACR

Marta Hernanz-Schulman, MD, *Chair*
Harriet J. Paltiel, MD
Sumit Pruthi, MBBS
Henrietta Kotlus Rosenberg, MD

SPR

Harris L. Cohen, MD
Andrew S. Phelps, MD
Cicero T. Silva, MD
Dayna M. Weinert, MD

SRU

Brian D. Coley, MD
Lynn Ansley Fordham, MD
Sara O'Hara, MD

AIUM Clinical Standards Committee

Joseph Wax, MD, *Chair*
John Pellerito, MD, *Vice Chair*
Susan Ackerman, MD
Sandra Allison, MD
Genevieve Bennett, MD
Bryann Bromley, MD
Rob Goodman, MB, BChir
Resa Lewiss, MD
David Paushter, MD
Dolores Pretorius, MD
Tatjana Rundek, MD, PhD
Khaled Sakhel, MD
Ants Toi, MD
Isabelle Wilkins, MD

Original copyright 2007; Revised 2016, 2011
Renamed 2015

References

1. Guggisberg D, Hadj-Rabia S, Viney C, et al. Skin markers of occult spinal dysraphism in children: a review of 54 cases. *Arch Dermatol* 2004; 140:1109–1115.
2. Izci Y, Gonul M, Gonul E. The diagnostic value of skin lesions in split cord malformations. *J Clin Neurosci* 2007; 14:860–863.
3. Kriss VM, Desai NS. Occult spinal dysraphism in neonates: assessment of high-risk cutaneous stigmata on sonography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1998; 171:1687–1692.
4. Ozturk E, Sonmez G, Mutlu H, et al. Split-cord malformation and accompanying anomalies. *J Neuroradiol* 2008; 35:150–156.
5. Robinson AJ, Russell S, Rimmer S. The value of ultrasonic examination of the lumbar spine in infants with specific reference to cutaneous markers of occult spinal dysraphism. *Clin Radiol* 2005; 60:72–77.
6. Long FR, Hunter JV, Mahboubi S, Kalmus A, Templeton JM Jr. Tethered cord and associated vertebral anomalies in children and infants with imperforate anus: evaluation with MR imaging and plain radiography. *Radiology* 1996; 200:377–382.
7. Medina LS, Crone K, Kuntz KM. Newborns with suspected occult spinal dysraphism: a cost-effectiveness analysis of diagnostic strategies. *Pediatrics* 2001; 108:E101.
8. Beek FJ, de Vries LS, Gerards LJ, Mali WP. Sonographic determination of the position of the conus medullaris in premature and term infants. *Neuroradiology* 1996; 38(suppl 1):S174–S177.
9. Schumacher WE, Drolet BA, Maheshwari M, et al. Spinal dysraphism associated with the cutaneous lumbosacral infantile hemangioma: a neuroradiological review. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2012;42(3):315–320.
10. Drolet BA, Chamlin SL, Garzon MC, et al. Prospective study of spinal anomalies in children with infantile hemangiomas of the lumbosacral skin. *J Pediatr*. 2010;157(5):789–794.
11. Coley BD, Shiels WE II, Hogan MJ. Diagnostic and interventional ultrasonography in neonatal and infant lumbar puncture. *Pediatr Radiol* 2001; 31:399–402.
12. Gerscovich EO, Maslen L, Cronan MS, et al. Spinal sonography and magnetic resonance imaging in patients with repaired myelomeningocele: comparison of modalities. *J Ultrasound Med* 1999; 18:655–664.
13. Kucera JN, Coley I, O'Hara S, Kosnik EJ, Coley BD. The simple sacral dimple: diagnostic yield of ultrasound in neonates. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2015;45(2):211–216.
14. American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine. AIUM Physician Training Guidelines <http://www.aium.org/resources/ptGuidelines.aspx>
15. Gleason CA, Martin RJ, Anderson JV, Carlo WA, Sanniti KJ, Fanaroff AA. Optimal position for a spinal tap in preterm infants. *Pediatrics*. 1983;71(1):31–35.
16. DiPietro MA. The conus medullaris: normal US findings throughout childhood. *Radiology* 1993; 188:149–153.
17. Kesler H, Dias MS, Kalapos P. Termination of the normal conus medullaris in children: a whole-spine magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neurosurg Focus* 2007; 23:1–5.
18. Wilson DA, Prince JR. John Caffey Award: MR imaging determination of the location of the normal conus medullaris throughout childhood. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1989; 152:1029–1032.
19. Zalel Y, Lehavi O, Aizenstein O, Achiron R. Development of the fetal spinal cord: time of ascendance of the normal conus medullaris as detected by sonography. *J Ultrasound Med* 2006; 25:1397–1401.

20. Thakur NH, Lowe LH. Borderline low conus medullaris on infant lumbar sonography: what is the clinical outcome and the role of neuroimaging follow-up? *Pediatr Radiol*. 2011;41(4):483-487.
21. Deeg KH, Lode HM, Gassner I. Spinal sonography in newborns and infants, part I: method, normal anatomy and indications. *Ultraschall Med* 2007; 28:507-517.
22. Lowe LH, Johaneck AJ, Moore CW. Sonography of the neonatal spine, part 1: normal anatomy, imaging pitfalls, and variations that may simulate disorders. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2007; 188:733-738.
23. Taveras JM, Ferrucci J, Dalinka M. *Radiology: Diagnosis Imaging Intervention*. Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2003.
24. Hedrick WR, Hykes, DL, Starchman DE. *Ultrasound Physics and Instrumentation*. 4th ed. St Louis, MO: Elsevier Mosby; 2004.
25. Kremkau FW. *Diagnostic Ultrasound: Principles and Instruments*. 7th ed. St Louis, MO: Elsevier Saunders; 2006.
26. Hedrick WR. *Technology for Diagnostic Sonography*. 1st ed. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier/Mosby; 2013.
27. Yundt KD, Park TS, Kaufman BA. Normal diameter of filum terminale in children: in vivo measurement. *Pediatr Neurosurg*. 1997;27(5):257-259.
28. AIUM Practice Parameter for Documentation of an Ultrasound Examination. 2014. <http://www.aium.org/resources/guidelines/documentation.pdf>
29. Unsinn KM, Geley T, Freund MC, Gassner I. US of the spinal cord in newborns: spectrum of normal findings, variants, congenital anomalies, and acquired diseases. *Radiographics* 2000; 20:923-938.
30. American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine. AIUM Standards and Guidelines for the Accreditation of Ultrasound Practices. Approved October 31, 2015. <http://www.aium.org/officialStatements/26>